arXiv:1405.4844v2 [math.FA] 15 May 2015

THE PUTNAM-FUGLEDE THEOREM FOR PARANORMAL OPERATORS

AHMED BACHIR, PATRYK PAGACZ

Abstract. The well-known asymmetric form of Putnam-Fuglede theorem asserts that if $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are normal operators and $AX = XB^*$ for some $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $A^*X = XB$.

In this paper we showed that the above theorem does not hold for paranormal operator A, even if we assume that B has to be unitary and an operator X is taken from Hilbert-Schmidt class.

Additionally, we showed the similar resualt for $*$-paranormal operators.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{H}$ denotes an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, - \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $\mathcal{H}$. Spectrum, point spectrum, residual spectrum, continuous spectrum and approximate spectrum of an operator T will be denoted by $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_r(T)$, $\sigma_c(T)$, $\sigma_{ap}(T)$, respectively. The kernel and the range of an operator T will be denoted by $kerT$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$.

For any operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, set, as usual $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ and $[T^*, T] = T^*T - TT^*$ (the self-commutator of T), and consider the following standard definitions: T is hyponormal if $|T^*|^2 \leq |T|^2$ (i.e. if $[T^*, T]$ is nonnegative or, equivalently, if $\|T^*x\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$), normal if $T^*T = TT^*$. In [22] the class of $*$-paranormal operators was introduced. An operator T is said to be $*$-paranormal iff $\|T^*x\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$, or equivalently, $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$-paranormal iff $T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda TT^* + \lambda^2 \geq 0$, for all $\lambda > 0$. Another well-known generalization of hyponormal operators are paranormal operators (see [14]). An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a paranormal iff $\|Tx\|^2 \leq \|T^2x\|\|x\|$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class iff $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Te_n\|^2 < \infty$, for some orthogonal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The Hilbert-Schmidt class will be denoted by $C_2(\mathcal{H})$.

The familiar Putnam-Fuglede's theorem asserts that if $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are normal operators and $AX = XB$ for some $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $A^*X = XB^*$ (see [24]). A simple example of two unilateral shifts shows that this theorem cannot be extended to the class of hyponormal operators. Let us overwrite the Puntnam-Fuglede's theorem in an asymmetric form: if $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are normal operators and $AX = XB^*$ for some $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then $A^*X = XB$. Many authors extended this theorem for several nonnormal classes of operators(see [1, 2, 3, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28]). The topic was also investigate

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B47, 47A30,47B20.

Key words and phrases. Putnam-Fuglde theorem, hyponormal operator, $*$-paranormal operator, paranormal operator.

in the case of unbounded operators(see [18, 20]). In this paper we showed that an asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem cannot be extended to the case of paranormal operators modulo Hilbert-Schmidt class operators.

2. Putnam-Fuglede Theorem for paranormal operators modulo Hilbert-Schmidt class does not hold

In this section we improve the resualt from [21].

All powers of hyponormal operator are paranormal (see [9]), but not necessary hyponormal (see [12, 25]). In [25] Radjabalipour showed that for each A and B such that A, B^* are the same powers of some hyponormal operators, the Putnam-Fuglede's theorem holds true. In [21] we gave an example of paranormal operator S, a unitary operator U and orthogonal projection P such that $SP = PU$, but $S^*P \neq PU^*$. This shows that asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for paranormal operators does not hold. Many authors extended asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem with additional assumption that X belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class (see [3, 10, 11, 27]). Below, we improve our example to the case that P is one-dimension projection, in particular P is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Example 1. Let $T : l^2 \ni (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_0 + x_1, x_1, x_1, \sqrt{8}x_2, \sqrt{8}x_3, \dots) \in l^2$. This operator can be express as follows

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{8} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{8} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(l^2).$$

The operator T is paranormal.

Let $h \in l^2$, then $h = \alpha e_0 + \beta e_1 + \sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n e_n$, where $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is an orthogonal basis of l^2. Since three sets $\{\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$, $\{T(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1), Te_2, Te_3, \dots\}$ and $\{T^2(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1), T^2e_2, T^2e_3, \dots\}$ consist of orthogonal vectors, we have

$$\|T^2h\|^2 - 2\lambda\|Th\|^2 + \lambda^2\|h\|^2 = \|T^2(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1)\|^2 - 2\lambda\|T(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1)\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1\|^2 +$$
$$+ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \|T^2\gamma_n e_n\|^2 - 2\lambda\|T\gamma_n e_n\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\gamma_n e_n\|^2.$$

Now let us observe that

$$\|T^2\gamma_n e_n\|^2 - 2\lambda\|T\gamma_n e_n\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\gamma_n e_n\|^2 = \|\gamma_n e_n\|^2(\lambda - 8)^2 \geq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\|T^2(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1)\|^2 - 2\lambda\|T(\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1)\|^2 + \lambda^2\|\alpha e_0 + \beta e_1\|^2 =$$
$$= |\alpha e_0 + \beta + \beta|^2 + |\beta|^2 + 8|\beta|^2 - 2\lambda(|\alpha e_0 + \beta|^2 + 2|\beta|^2) + \lambda(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2) =$$
$$= |(1 - \lambda)\alpha + 2\beta|^2 + (3 - t)^2|\beta|^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus for every positive number λ and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we get $\|T^2h\|^2 - 2\lambda\|Th\|^2 + \lambda^2\|h\|^2 \geq 0$. Hence T is paranormal. If we take P an projection onto one-dimension space $\mathbb{C}$ and $U = Id$, then we get $TP = PU$, but $T^*Pe_0 = e_0 + e_1 \neq e_0 = PU^*e_0$.

We would like to suggest a natural question.

Problem 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a paranormal. Let $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be normal. Assume that $TX = XN$, for some operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with a dense range. It is true that $T^*X = XN^*$?

3. Putnam-Fuglede Theorem for $*$-paranormal operators

In this section we give a different proof for the main result form [21].

A simple consequence of definotion is known property of $*$-paranormal operators (see [8]).

Lemma 3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-paranormal operator. If an element $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $Tx = \lambda x$, then $T^*x = \overline{\lambda}x$.*

Remark 4. The above lemma is not true for paranormal operators. The Example 1 shows that.

To show the main theorem of this section we need the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *Let A be an $*$-paranormal operator and U be a unitary operator. Then the operator $A \otimes U$ is $*$-paranormal.*

Proof. An operator T is $*$-paranormal if and only if

$$T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda TT^* + \lambda^2 \geq 0,$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

Let us fixed $\lambda > 0$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A \otimes U)^{*2}(A \otimes U)^2 - 2\lambda(A \otimes U)(A \otimes U)^* + \lambda^2 = \\
&A^{*2}A^2 \otimes B^{*2}B^2 - 2\lambda(AA^* \otimes BB^*) + \lambda^2 = \\
&A^{*2}A^2 \otimes Id - 2\lambda(AA^* \otimes Id) + \lambda^2 = \\
&A^{*2}A^2 \otimes Id - 2\lambda(AA^* \otimes Id) + \lambda^2(Id \otimes Id) = \\
&(A^{*2}A^2 - 2\lambda AA^* + \lambda^2 Id) \otimes Id \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 6. *If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a *-paranormal operator and U is a unitary operator $AX = XU$, for some X in $C_2(\mathcal{H})$, then $A^*X = XU^*$.*

Proof. For each pair of operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, there is an operator $\Gamma_{A,B}$ defined on $C_2(\mathcal{H})$ via the formula $\Gamma_{A,B}(X) = AXB$ in [5]. Obviously, $\|\Gamma_{A,B}\| \leq \|A\|\|B\|$. The adjoint of $\Gamma_{A,B}$ is given by the formula $\Gamma^*_{A,B}(X) = A^*XB^*$; see details [5].

The unitary operator $\mathcal{U} : C_2(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ by a map $(x \otimes y)^* \to x \otimes y$ induces the *-isomorphism $\Psi : B(C_2(\mathcal{H})) \to B(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ by a map $X \to UXU^*$. Then we can obtain $\Psi(\Gamma_{A,B}) = A \otimes B^*$; see details [6].

The equation $AX = XU$ is equivqlent to $AXU^* = X$. Thus $\Gamma_{A,U^*}(X) = X$, but by Proposition 5 and Ψ correspondents Γ_{A,U^*} is a *-paranormal operator. Hence by Lemma 3 we have $\Gamma^*_{A,U^*}(X) = X$. Finally we get $A^*XU = X$, which is equivalent to $A^*X = XU^*$. □

Problem 7. Let $T_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-paranormal and $T_1^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a $*$-paranormal. Assume that $T_1X = XT_2$, for some operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. It is true that $T_1^*X = XT_2^*$?

References

[1] A. Bachir and F. Lombarkia, *Fuglede-Putnam's theorem for w-hyponormal operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 15(2012), 777–786.

[2] A. Bachir, *An Extension of Fuglede-Putnam Theorem for Certain Posinormal Operators*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 8(2013), 827–832

[3] S.K. Berberian, *Note on a theorem of Fuglede and Putnam*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10(1959), 175–182.

[4] S.K. Berberian, *Approximate proper vectors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 13(1962), 111–114.

[5] S.K. Berberian, *Extensions of a theorem of Fuglede-Putnam*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 71(1978), 113–114.

[6] A. Brown, C. Pearcy, *Spectra of tensor products of operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17(1966), 162–166.

[7] J. Conway, *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.

[8] B.P. Duggal, I.H. Jeon and I.H. Kim, *On $*$-paranormal contractions and properties for $*$-class A operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), 954–962.

[9] T. Furuta, *On the class of Paranormal Operators*, Proc. Japan Acad., 43(1967), 567–649.

[10] T. Furuta, *An extenstion of the Fuglede theorem to subnormal operators using a Hilbert-Schmidt norm inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1981), 240–242.

[11] F.Gao, X.Li, *On $*$-class A contractions*, J. Inequal. Appl. 2013

[12] P.R. Halmos, *Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Van Nostrand. The University Series in Higher Mathematics (1966).

[13] I. Hoxhha and N.L. Braha, *Weyl's theorem, tensor product, Fuglede-Putnam theorem and continuity spectrum for k-quasi class $\mathcal{A}_n^*$ operators*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 51(2014), 1089–1104.

[14] V.I. Istrăţescu, T. Saitô and T. Yoshino, *On a class of operators*, Tôhoku Math. J., 18(1966), 410–413.

[15] R.L. Moore, D.D. Rogers and T.T. Trent, *A note on intertwining M -hyponormal operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 83(1981), 514–516.

[16] S. Mecheri, *A generalization of Fuglede-Putnam theorem*, J. Pure Math. 21(2004), 31–38.

[17] S. Mecheri and A. Uchiyama, *An extension of the Fuglede-Putnam's theorem to class $\mathcal{A}$ operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., 13(2010), 57–61.

[18] M.H. Mortad, *An all-unbounded-operator version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 6(2012), 1269–1273.

[19] J.G. Stamfli and B. Wadhwa, *An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators*, Indian Univ. Math. J., 25, no. 4(1976), 359–365.

[20] J. Stochel, *An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for unbounded operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 129(2001), 2261–2271.

[21] P. Pagacz, *The Putnam-Fuglede property for paranormal and *-paranormal operators*, Opuscula Math. 33, no. 3 (2013), 565–574.

[22] S.M. Patel, *Contributions to the study of spectraliod operators*, PhD thesis, Delhi University, 1974.

[23] S.M. Patel, *In interwining p-hyponormal operators*, Indian J. Math., 38(1996), 287–290.

[24] C.R. Putnam, *On the normal operators on Hilbert space*, Amer. J. Math., 73(1951), 357–362.

[25] M. Radjabalipour, *An extension of Putnam-Fuglede Theorem for Hyponormal Operators*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 194(1987), 117–120.

[26] M. H. M. Rashid and M. S. M. Noorani, *On relaxation normality in the Fulgede-Putnam's theorem for a quasi-class $\mathcal{A}$ operators*, Tamkang. J. Math., 40(2009), 307–312.

[27] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, *Fuglede-Putnam's theorem for p-hyponormal or log-hyponormal operators*, Glasgow Math. J., 44(2002), 397–410.

[28] T. Yoshino, *Remark on the generalized Putnam–Fuglede theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 95(1985), 571–572.

Department of Mathematics, King Khalid University, Abha, Saudi Arabia,
E-mail address: bachir_ahmed@hotmail.com

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: patryk.pagacz@gmail.com